

**Montville Township Public Library
Board of Trustees Meeting
MAY 10, 2010
Montville Township Public Library
90 Horseneck Road
Montville, New Jersey 07045**

The meeting was called to order by President, Peter King at 7:30 p.m.

**Howard Chesler Edward Ernstrom Peter King Donald Kostka Robert Lefkowitz
Thomas Mazzaccaro James Sandham Rita Seipp**

**Allan Kleiman – Interim Library Director
Vincent Sacco – Associate Director for Operations & Technology
Ann Grossi, Esq. – Attorney for Board
Elaine Wood – Recording Secretary**

Absent: David Dalia

Peter King stated that prior notice of the Meeting had been published in accordance with the requirements of the Open Public Meetings Act.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting was opened at 7:30 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MOMENT OF SILENCE

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Sarah Foye, 38 Buckingham Circle, Pine Brook asked if the Minutes and Agenda were published prior to the meetings in case she were unable to attend. It was noted that once the Minutes were approved they are put on the Montville Library website and the Agenda is posted on the library bulletin board.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Peter asked for a motion to adopt the April 12, 2010 Minutes.

Thomas moved
Edward seconded

Peter asked if there were any corrections to the April 12, 2010 Open Session Meeting.

James noted that he was not absent at that meeting and Timothy Braden was not in attendance at that meeting.

Page 3 and 4 – Spelling of Mr. Dougherty should be: D-A-U-G-H-T-R-Y.

Montville Township Library
Open Session Minutes
May 10, 2010

Page 12 – Resolution (g) – Mr. Sandham’s names should be included as a “No” vote.

Page 2 – 3rd paragraph under Reports of Officers, President – Peter King: Mr. Lefkowitz requested that it be noted he asked “where in Robert’s Rules the Board President is given that power”? (Referring to how long one is permitted to speak on each topic).

Page 3 – 5th paragraph under Patron Services – Howard Chesler: Mr. Lefkowitz requested that it be noted he objected to the application of the survey as that committee did not meet to discuss the survey.

Page 4 - 5th paragraph: spelling of Sarah’s last name is: F-O-Y-E.

Page 9 – 1st paragraph: “land maintenance” should be “lan maintenance”.

All were in favor to approve the April 10, 2010 meeting.

James made a motion to accept the March 8, 2010 Minutes as amended.
Thomas seconded

All were in favor

At this time Peter stated that David Dalia was not in attendance due to a severe migraine headache.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS

President – Peter King

Peter stated that the township has set up a Technology Committee and Robert Lefkowitz has been assigned. He further stated that Robert would be giving a presentation under the New Business portion of this evening’s meeting.

Peter said that Alexa had done a commendable job on the Volume I issue for the pages in reviewing what the library was going to suffer due to the some of the cuts made by the state.

Lastly, Peter said that he would like to institute an ad hoc committee called: “Technology Systems Integration Committee”. Howard, David and Edward were appointed to the committee so when Robert reports on his technologies, they can take that information and include them into the library services.

Vice President – Edward Ernstrom

No report.

Treasurer – Thomas Mazzaccaro

No report.

Assistant Treasurer – Donald Kostka

No report.

Secretary – David Dalia

Absent - No report.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Finances – Thomas Mazzaccaro

Thomas stated that there was a committee meeting this past week where he, Robert and Donald were in attendance along with Peter and Allan. The main goal of the meeting was to discuss the new auditor. The recommendation was the firm of McEnerney, Bradey & Company, LLC.

Buildings and Grounds – Edward Ernstrom

Edward said that the Buildings and Grounds Committee met and David Dalia was elected as Chairman of Buildings and Grounds. They discussed the alarm system request for proposal.

Personnel – Edward Ernstrom

Edward said that they did have a Personnel Committee meeting. One of the items that were discussed was the Wellness Program at the Mountain Lakes YMCA. He stated that the Wellness program has offered all residents and employees in Montville one-week free membership who have Horizon Blue Cross and Blue Shield cards – unemployed Montville residents will receive ninety (90) days.

Also, various options were discussed for delivering services to the patrons.

Patron Services – Howard Chesler

Allan stated that he met with Dr. Calobria at the high school and discussed some options regarding the parking situation at the library. He said that the students were to be informed that they should not be parking in the library parking lot – parents were to be advised as well via the newsletter. He stated that the students would receive some sort of notification to be left on their windshields.

Also discussed were the pick up and the drop off of the children and that it should not be happening in the library parking lot. Another area of discussion was the issue of double parking. Allan stated that the Chief of Police was to come by and observe what goes on in the afternoon. He stated that it is not just the high school students, but patrons as well, who are double parking, leaving their engines running and go into the library, drop off books and come back to their car. Another problem is that people are not stopping at the corner. Allan noted that there were two accidents in the parking lot and they involved patrons of the library, not high school students.

Allan stated that he would speak with the Chief of Police and have the fire lanes designated as such.

There are ninety (90) spots in the parking lot.

Presentation by James P. Cutillo on North Entrance Renovation

Each member was sent a copy of the plans.

Mr. Cutillo addressed the Board and stated some of the existing problems:

- Vestibule - when someone walks through the second set of doors, it does not allow enough time for the other doors to close.
- Not automatic
- Doors are too close together.
- Vestibule too small

Mr. Cutillo stated that putting in these automatic doors is almost standard in public buildings today. Mr. Cutillo stated that one of the advantages for our site, since it is rather windy, is to create an area of refuge should a patron be waiting to be picked up. He said that they would be taking out the existing interior vestibule, therefore creating more interior lobby space. He further stated that there would be an automatic door for the side and an automatic door of the interior. There would be benches in the waiting area.

He said that there is a full set of construction documents available and they are ready to go out to bid. The town has okayed everything, and everything has been coordinated through Mr. Cutillo's office with Tony Barill and the town's attorney with regards to the legal documents.

It was noted by one of the Board members that in Mr. Cutillo's presentation there was no mention of making the library handicapped accessible. Mr. Cutillo responded by stating that the library is handicapped accessible, however, it is really not functioning correctly. He further stated that it was designed to be accessible but the doors are very heavy and the wind factor is a very serious problem for this location, saying that if this building were orientated in another direction or located on another site, the same problems might not exist. He said that if the existing hardware were toned down to a 20 lb. pressure that is needed for handicapped entry; it would be flying open in the wind daily. He said that one of the advantages of his proposal would be energy efficiency due to an air lock on the doors.

Thomas stated that his concern is if both sets of doors were opened at the same time there would basically be a two-sided box and that would create a wind tunnel. Mr. Cutillo said that is the reason the new vestibule would be larger than the existing vestibule. He said that the doors are automatic and they are going to close and they are not relying on the gear box to pull them closed and because of the distance that is recommended between the doors, hopefully, by the time somebody walks through that door, and are approaching the inner doors, the first set of door would close. Mr. Cutillo stated that his proposal was much better than the set up that they currently have.

Mr. Cutillo stated that the estimate was \$127,000.00 – the ADA doors, with the openers is \$38,000.00 and is ADA compliant.

It was asked if there is a lower cost alternative as the \$127,000.00 seemed quite high. It was also asked if sliding doors were a consideration. Mr. Cutillo stated that the interior door is a sliding door and the sliding doors do take a little longer to close than a hinge door. He stated that they had looked at physical parameters of what is currently there and what is inside the library and currently there are rest rooms directly by the entryway, therefore they can not build into the library because they would be cutting off the circulation from the restroom areas. Therefore, their only option is to build something on the outside. He stated that they would be building within the roof overhang and then shortly past that is the curve where the cars pull up. He stated he could not go out any further than that point. He further stated that a second set of sliding doors could not be built as there is no room, nor would it provide a refuge area.

Mr. Cutillo said that he has been working on this project for three years and he does not see a lower cost alternative or another direction to go. He said that the cost could be lower if they chose not to use glass. Mr. Cutillo stated that he did not have a study on the actual energy savings but he did say that he was

certain that every time the doors opened they were losing heat. He further stated that he did not have any information on a formal wind study but based his comments from observance while on the site. He also stated that as an architect his designs take into consideration wind patterns. He stated that this proposal would eliminate the wind problems most of the time whereas the way the doors are designed currently, the problem exists all the time.

It was asked how close would the vestibule come out to the curb to which Mr. Cutillo stated that it is just within the roof line and he believed the curb was three feet out from the roof line but he would look into it and get back to the Board with the answer. There would be 2 electrical outlets. The glass would be tempered non-tinted glass but could be tinted if desired.

It was asked if the Pio Costa center would require a similar project. Mr. Cutillo stated that it would depend if it were under a certain budget threshold, they would not need to go out to bid and it would depend on what they were going to do with those doors. Mr. Cutillo stated that it was his understanding that that side of the building is not used as frequently as the northern entrance and perhaps they would not need something as large as a refuge area and perhaps a larger separation in the vestibule would be adequate.

It was asked why the original estimate of \$178,000 was now down to \$127,000 – what kind of modifications were made to bring the price down by that much?

Mr. Cutillo stated that the doors were modified, as well as the glazing. He further stated that there were approximately five to six variations made.

It was asked if circular doors could be used to which Mr. Cutillo stated that they did not have the room and the price was very high.

At this time Peter took questions from the public audience.

It was stated that ADA says the vestibule/door must be four feet from the curb. Peter felt it was more like six feet.

Sarah asked if there would be outdoor seating. Mr. Cutillu said that he was not involved with anything outside the vestibule. He said that they were proposing a seating area on the inside.

It was asked if sliders might be a better choice to which Mr. Cutillo stated that sliders take longer to close and secondly, with the automatic opener/closer, it will close the door and does not require 20 lbs. of pressure that a manual door uses. Therefore, it does not matter how much wind goes against it as it is mechanically closed. Mr. Cutillo stated that there would be safety requirements on the doors and they would be up to code to accommodate handicapped/wheelchair-bound people. He stated that the existing vestibule meets requirements but it is still inadequate and that is the reason they are proposing to make it larger (triple the existing size).

Mr. Cutillo stated that the existing doors are ADA compliant, but the “closers” were not and if someone were to test the weight on the doors right now, they would fail.

Allan said that they have people who come into the building with wheelchairs and walkers and they need to have someone hold the door open for them as they cannot move the door. Currently, there is a young man who comes to the library in a full-body wheelchair and he has to have four people hold the doors open in order for him to enter the library. He said that people with strollers also have a difficult time

entering the library. He stated that in addition, the doors are rotting and some of the staff has actually been injured in trying to close the doors. They are rusting from the inside.

Mr. Cutillo said that the push button to open the door would be in the corner of the building.

It was asked if the \$127,000 was a reliable price for the doors to which Mr. Cutillo stated that he did not check the price but thought it was give or take.

Thomas asked if it were fair to assume that if they were to redo the vestibule that they have now; replacing doors, everything 100% ADA, would it still cost \$50,000. Mr. Cutillo said “yes” and that the price he had for the doors alone were \$38,000.00.

Someone in the audience suggested that sliders with an “eye-beam” would be more efficient vs. push out doors. Mr. Cutillo said he could look into it. He said that motion sensors were not a good idea because they could easily be set off by outside activity.

It was noted that the library had received a \$38,000 grant for the doors.

Joan Leib of 21 Lorraine Drive, Pine Brook said that it was her understanding that this has been in the works for some time and she hoped that the project would be moving at a faster speed.

Roseanne Dawer of 6 Peach Tree Drive, Montville was also in attendance.

Peter stated that he had planned on voting on this project this evening. He said that there would be a township committee meeting on May 11. He further stated that approximately \$91,000.00 has been set aside in the budget for this project and \$38,000 was from the grant. Therefore he felt that there were sufficient funds to cover this project.

Interim Library Director’s Report – Allan Kleiman

Allan said that he had distributed his report and asked if there are any questions regarding the same.

Robert asked if the various groups that meet at the library must meet with the township guidelines. Allan stated that anyone that uses the meeting rooms that is not sponsored by the library must be a “not for profit.” organization. If they are a government agency they do not have to include the insurance certificate. Other than that they must provide a Certificate of Insurance, which is kept on file either at the library or with the township clerk.

It was asked how the training went for the rear screen projection. Allan asked that Vincent give a brief report. Vincent stated that the meeting went spectacular. They received an HD player as well as a Wii Player. They watched “Avitar” and felt the quality was just as good as watching it in a theater. Vincent said that it would be available for a demonstration for the Board members whenever they wanted.

Donald made note of libraries being at risk and they are being funded thru a forced tax. He felt that this designated tax was out of everyone’s control. He stated that as a homeowner and taxpayer he has no say as to how the money is going to be spent once it comes out of one’s tax dollars. He stated that he had no problem with the presentation as long as both sides were being presented.

RESOLUTIONS/MOTIONS

(a) Resolution to accept check register dated April 1, to April 30, 2010 in the amount of \$52,642.18.

Howard moved
Thomas seconded

Discussion: James thanked Thomas for the document explaining the line item. James asked why the pension expense was up significantly on a year to date basis compared to the budget. Donald said that PERS was a one-time charge.

James asked why Professional Services was running over the year to date budget. Allan said there is a monthly bill for the accountant; the computer training is not included in the yearly budget.

All were in favor

(b) Resolution to approve plans for the Montville Township Public Library's North Entrance Renovation.

Peter read the resolution for the record as follows:

“Resolution approving architect’s plans for the Montville Library’s front door project and the approval to fund and proceed with the project as detailed in the signed approved and attested to agreement between the township of Montville and the Montville Public Library.

WHEREAS there is a need to make capital improvements (hereinafter “PROJECT”) to the Montville Township Public Library; and

WHEREAS the PROJECT consists of the construction and remodeling of the Montville Township Public Library main entrance lobby including, but not limited to the purchase, installation, supervision, review and approval of automatic doors and all other costs associated with the completion and execution of the PROJECT as detailed in the Architectural plan prepared by James P. Cutillo and Associates; and

WHEREAS the Architect, James P. Cutillo, reviewed the plans, in detail, with the Library Board of Trustees at the May 10, 2010 Library Board meeting; and

WHEREAS the Library Board of Trustees have been provided a complete and updated set of plans for review prior to the May 10, 2010 Board meeting; and

WHEREAS the Township of Montville and the Library Board have agreed to jointly fund the PROJECT; and

WHEREAS the Township of Montville and the Library Board have entered into an agreement that has been signed, approved and attested to; and

WHEREAS the Montville Library Board of Trustees have voted to approve and provide funding for the PROJECT; and

WHEREAS the Montville Board of Trustees have included funding for the PROJECT in the approved 2010 budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Montville Public Library Board of Trustees approves and authorizes the preparation of specifications and solicitations of bids for the PROJECT, by the Township of Montville as per the previously signed, approved and attested to agreement.

Adopted May 10, 2010

David Dalia, Secretary
Peter J. King, President

Edward moved
Peter seconded

Discussion: Howard said that he was a little uncomfortable as he felt Mr. Cutillo was uncertain about many areas. He further stated that he did not think an accurate vote could be taken this evening until he got the answers he was looking for; i.e., he did not have the answers to questions asked immediately, he did not know about the overhang, he could not suggest alternatives, etc.

It was recommended that Carmella Slavinski, Executive Director of DAWN - Disabled Advocates Working for Northwest New Jersey, Center for Independent Living, take a look at these plans as she is believed to be a certified expert in this field. She can be reached at 973-625-1940.

Peter recommended tabling this resolution for the next meeting and contacting Ms. Slavinski and getting her input.

Howard suggested putting a time limit on the request.

Peter further recommended that if anyone had any specific questions they wanted answered, that they write them down and forward to Allan.

Howard asked how bad the front door situation currently was. Allan said that basically people could not get in the building. The doors are hazardous when there is a "wind" condition to contend with; there is no space in the vestibule; doors are rotting from inside out; wheelchair patrons and mothers with strollers have extreme difficulty entering the building and the vestibule is too narrow.

Rita stated that she was uncomfortable with Mr. Cutillo's presentation and felt he did not have suitable answers when asked questions about the plans. She did not like where the "push button" was located and did not like the design and did not believe it was handicapped accessible.

James stated that this was not the only viable answer and since they are facing other financial issues in this town; on a municipal side and on a Board or Education side – he could not vote to spend \$127,000 with a potential of another \$20,000 that has been set up as a contingency for this project. He stated that sliding doors was a better way to go.

Peter stressed the urgency to have this project go out to bid to find out exactly how much this project is going to cost. Peter suggested that the resolution be tabled until Carmella reviews the plans

Robert made a motion to call the question

Peter said before he makes a motion to call the question, he has entertained to table this resolution and get the answers to the questions that have been posed, and to have Carmella review the plans, and come back

with suggestions, satisfying the unanswered questions and then bring the motion up for a vote next month.

Howard seconded motion to Table

No Discussion on the motion to Table

Voted Yes: Howard Chesler, Edward Ernstrom, Donald Kostka, Thomas Mazzaccaro, James Sandham, Peter King

Voted No: Robert Lefkowitz, Rita Seipp

James said that he would like to have the answers provided in next month's packets so they would be better prepared at the next meeting.

(c) Resolution to close the Library on Sunday July 4 and Monday July 5 for the fourth of July and on Saturday, June 26 and Sunday, June 27 for Township July Fourth Events.

James Moved

Rita Seconded

Discussion: Howard asked if they would be liable for paying people who did not work on June 26 and June 27.

Allan stated that to the best of his knowledge the full time staff that would ordinarily work on that Saturday would work on a Monday thru Friday schedule. He said that they could also schedule the staff who would ordinarily work on Saturday and Sunday to work different days. He said he would not suggest having staff in the library where people would spend the whole afternoon trying to get into the building for the purpose of using the restrooms. He said it would be better to make arrangements with the staff to make up hours. Allan said that it is hard at this point to come up with the possibility that, at the last minute they are going to have to close, and if that is the case then they may have to pay the staff. Allan further stated that given the situation and the possibility of thousands of people attending this event, they might be better off in this case to close.

All were in favor.

(d) Resolution to approve contract to McEnerney, Brady & Company, LLC for audit services for a cost not to exceed \$4,200.00.

Robert Moved

Edward Seconded

Discussion: James asked if there were any references on this group. Robert said he did not check any of their references, just people in general who know of them.

James asked for a motion for a friendly amendment, subject to getting two (2) references on the other libraries that they have worked with. Donald said that references are listed on page 6 of their "Response to Request for Proposal for Auditing Services". James said he withdrew his friendly amendment.

All were in favor

9:09 p.m. Peter asked for a motion to go into Executive Session.

Ms. Grossi stated that it would be for the purpose of discussing the following:

- Possible legal issues that Robert Lefkowitz brought up that may lead to litigation
- Matters pertaining to the Union
- Discussion of last month's Executive Session Minutes

James Moved

Howard Seconded

All were in favor

9:40 p.m. Open Session reconvened

OLD BUSINESS

James said that he had old business,(not to be addressed this evening), as it pertained to the New Jersey Public Libraries' Manual for Trustee. He said he would have no problem adopting this manual for Montville Library. However, he did have a problem adopting what was put in front of him, for a number of reasons. He said that nowhere in the New Jersey Public Libraries does it say that they are to advocate this library everywhere with everybody. He further stated that he did not say they should be advocating for increases but should be advocating for satisfactory funding. Ms. Grossi said she did believe it did say that. She stated that it read "...advocate for your library everywhere with everyone". Ms. Grossi said that Allan has copies if anyone would like them.

Robert stated that there might be a discrepancy between the online document and the manual. Ms. Grossi stated that she reviewed many of Robert's suggestions and she actually took something out. She said it was her error, as it was originally noted that the "members are appointed by the Mayor with the advice and consent of the township committee." She said she took it out based on Robert's comments but it actually should have stayed in because that is actually the way it is done.

Allan asked for clarification on the use of our attorney and to make it very clear as this has been a gray issue.

Peter said that each Trustee should NOT go directly to our attorney; they should go to him. However there have been occasions when Trustees have gone to our attorney. He further stated that most of the feedback should be going to Allan and then Allan would forward the information to Ms. Grossi as this is the most cost effective procedure.

Robert proposed a motion to form a committee to look into the Bylaws.
Thomas seconded

Discussion: Howard suggested that Robert should chair the committee and Thomas volunteered to serve.

All were in favor.

NEW BUSINESS

A. Township Technology Committee Report – Robert Lefkowitz

Robert stated that there is a committee that is trying to look into where they can capitalize on numbers based on municipality and the library and schools with regard to technology. He said they were looking into hardware computers, possibly switching operating systems, e-mail systems, networking systems, internet access and office suites.

B. Friends of the Library – Report next month

C. Survey – Howard Chesler

Howard asked if they wanted to put the survey out and start again. Also, Howard asked, “how do they promulgate it”? He asked if they put money in the contingency line of the budget. There is currently \$10,000 in there now. He said there is a cost to send out the survey electronically as well as “snail-mail”. He said that Allan has contacted other libraries and found out that they get a much better response when they send it out both ways.

Peter asked for a motion to submit this survey as written.

Robert made a motion to table this pending a review by the Patron Services Committee.

“Hearing no second”, Peter entertained a motion to vote.

Robert asked if anyone thought that this survey would make any difference at all to the running of the library. Allan said that as part of the library’s strategic planning one of the ways to get customer feedback on an annual basis is to do a survey as well as focus groups. Allan believed it is an effective tool in operating the library. Allan said he did see Robert’s comments and the problem with an on-line survey is that it would require mass publicity that informs people to go to the website to fill out the survey. Robert questioned, “Why have a committee”?

Donald made a call for the question
Edward Seconded

Voted Yes: Howard Chesler, Edward Ernstrom, Donald Kostka, James Sandham, Rita Seipp, Peter King

Voted No: Robert Lefkowitz, Thomas Mazzaccaro,

Howard suggested that based on the Library Director’s opinion that they investigate the variety of methods for distribution of the survey; i.e., e-mail, regular mail, library, schools, township offices, etc.

Robert suggested that it be placed in the Montville Messenger and then it would not cost anything. Allan said that unfortunately they are limited by the amount of pages they can place in the Messenger and the next issue of the Messenger will be used to promote their biggest season of the year, which is Summer Reading. Allan didn’t think people would want to go through the effort of “ripping” out the survey, filling it out and then mailing it.

Howard made a motion to authorize the Director to send out the survey in a multitude, using his judgment.

Edward Seconded

James stated that he believed they were asking their current users “how do we improve the library” and he did not believe people would fill out the survey. He suggested designing a different kind of survey if they

really want to get more people to come to the library. He said that he viewed this survey as one that pertained to the library's own "current" patrons.

Voted Yes: Howard Chesler, Edward Ernstrom, Donald Kostka, Thomas Massaccaro, James Sandham, Rita Seipp, Peter King

Voted No: Robert Lefkowitz

Robert asked if there is a plan in motion for the services that are to be cut in July. Allan said that MAIN directors are working on a plan and they have been told that it is a bit premature to put that plan into effect. He said that he would know more by the first or second week in June, when the next time the Directors meet. He further stated that they are part of a consortium and they are not facing these issues alone as there are 23 other libraries that they participate with on a daily basis and are working on a shared responsibility.

Robert asked if there is any county money that is being cut and does MAIN get the money from the county and if so, is any of that cut? Allan said that at this point none of MAIN's money has been cut and the Morris County Library has had their budget approved. Allan said that they get support from Morris County and that is why their dues to the consortium are so low.

Peter asked for a motion to approve the Executive Session Minutes of April 12, 2010.

Thomas Moved
Howard Seconded

All were in favor

Robert asked Ms. Grossi if they were looking to go to the county for funds, and if she were running for County Freeholder position, do they need to have a back-up attorney for some of these items, to which she replied "no".

Robert made a motion to discuss Board "treatment" of the public.

Ms. Grossi suggested that if Robert had many issues that he would like to be placed on the agenda for next month, that he would perhaps chose three (3) items per session, so as not to spend the entire night on agenda items.

Ms. Grossi explained that there is a policy that if an individual Trustee wishes to have something heard on the next agenda, that they make a motion under New Business and it must be seconded.

The following motions were made by Robert to be placed on next month's agenda:

1. The Board's treatment of the Public.

James Seconded

Discussion: Robert stated that he believed they could improve the experience for the public.

All were in favor

2. To discuss the policy of audiotaping and the retention of Executive Minutes.

James asked for a point of order: James asked if Robert was requesting to change the existing policy or to question the policy to begin with?

Robert said he would like to discuss changes.

James Seconded

Howard suggested that it put off until the July meeting as he felt it was not a 15 minute discussion and would require more time. Robert was in agreement.

Voted Yes: Howard Chesler, Edward Ernstrom, Donald Kostka, Robert Lefkowitz, James Sandham, Rita Seipp, Peter King

Voted No: Thomas Mazzaccaro

3. To discuss the on-line access of Board materials information.

James Seconded

Robert stated he would like to see the Board open up more, have more information made public, and see audio tapes on the website.

Voted Yes: Robert Lefkowitz, Thomas Mazzaccaro, James Sandham, Rita Seipp

Voted No: Howard Chesler, Edward Ernstrom, Donald Kostka, Peter Kign

Motion Fails

Peter asked for a motion to adjourn
Donald seconded

All in favor

10:24 p.m. Open Session Adjourned

CORRECTIONS TO THE APRIL 12, 2010 OPEN SESSION MEETING:

James noted that he was not absent at that meeting and Timothy Braden was not in attendance at that meeting.

Page 3 and 4 – Spelling of Mr. Dougherty should be: D-A-U-G-H-T-R-Y.

Page 12 – Resolution (g) – Mr. Sandham's names should be included as a "No" vote.

Page 2 – 3rd paragraph under Reports of Officers, President – Peter King: Mr. Lefkowitz requested that it be noted he asked "where in Robert's Rules the Board President is given that power"? (Referring to how long one is permitted to speak on each topic).

Page 3 – 5th paragraph under Patron Services – Howard Chesler: Mr. Lefkowitz requested that it be noted he objected to the application of the survey as that committee did not meet to discuss the survey.

Page 4 - 5th paragraph: spelling of Sarah's last name is: F-O-Y-E.

Page 9 – 1st paragraph: "land maintenance" should be "lan maintenance".